

**REMARKS**

This invention provides for, *inter alia*, a process dispensing a paint, printing ink or pigment paste, an aqueous pigment concentrate and to coating system which involve or contain a copolymer based upon oxyalkyleneglycol-alkylene ethers or polyalkylene oxide alkenyl ethers and unsaturated dicarboxylic acid derivatives.

It is believed that these objections are overcome and favorable action is earnestly solicited. If, however, a fee is required, the Assistant Commissioner is authorized to charge such fee, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account 50-0320.

Claims 25 to 34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. The Amendment corrects the obvious typographical error, which was made when re-writing the claim, and it is urged that this rejection is moot.

Claims 25 to 34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Applicants urged that the objection is moot and should be withdrawn.

With respect to the typographical error, the term "oxyalkyleneglycol-alkylene ethers" has been cancelled. Since this alteration does not change the meaning of the term, it does not add new matter or limit the application of the doctrine of equivalents.

With respect to the compounds wherein 1 is 1, Applicants are canceling the embodiment, without prejudice, in order to advance prosecution. Applicants urge that the compounds wherein 1 is 1 are definite for reason of record. Applicants reserve the right to pursue the compounds in a divisional application.

Concerning T, the amendment to the specification and claims cancels the O atom from the definition. The Examiner is correct that peroxides are outside the scope of the invention and

this was a typographical error made when preparing the application. Further, the amendment to T places the definition where T is -COOR<sup>5</sup> first in order to overcome any potential confusion.

Applicants disagree that one would not understand that the variable "1m" in the claim would not understand that it is the product of 1m, especially in view of the phrase "product of 1 and m." However, in order to advance prosecution the phrase "l·m" has been inserted.

The phrase "the last three radials" has been cancelled.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that the rejections are moot and their withdrawal is requested. Favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 

Mark W. Russell  
Reg. No. 37,514  
Tel.: 212-588-0800